



	B1B0	B9C	B1C	B1C	B5C	B1P	T1K	B4C
a								
b								
c								

- 1\* Traces now uncertain; our copy gives *ḏ*?, but the publication has a clear *ḏ*, which is probably right in spite of our reading.
- 2\* The lacuna cannot have contained the beginning of this Spell + Spell 152. Whether the text of B4C was identical with that of T1K is of course quite uncertain.
- 3\* →, the parallels suggest that this is cursive ... Otherwise one would read mn.
- 4\* Read *imyr bsh imyr knt.sn?*

	B1B0	B9C	B1C	B1L	B5C	B1P	T1L	B4C
a	△ [812]	△ [239]	△ [378]	△ [112]	△ [85]	△ [3]	↑	↑ 7*
b								
c								

- 1\* Chiselmark —, like mn.
- 2\* End of line; the text breaks off; next line a new spell (= BD 69).
- 3\* Probably no space between □ and □.
- 4\* A curious (defective?) form of 𓆎: +.
- 5\* The restoration of N. pm in its normal form leaves a space of 1 or 2 squares.
- 6\* So our copy and the publication (↔) read □?
- 7\* The text of B4C was probably more related to T1L than to the others, but the lacuna is too long to be certain of the version of B4C.

	BgC	BIC	BIL	BSC	BIP	TIL	B4C
a							
a'							
b							

1\* the tops are straight, different from P. F.  
 2\* III.  
 3\* See p. 263, 7\*.